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Clause 1.1.2

The following position statement has been agreed to ensure expectations relating to compliance with clause 1.1.2; its consistent application at certificated sites, and assessment during audits are understood.

The clause is applicable to all sites certificated to Issue 8 of the Standard and shall be audited as part of all audits of the Standard.

In summary, the clause requires sites to:
Define and maintain a clear plan for the development and continuing improvement of food safety culture. This plan must include:

- Clearly defined activities that will be completed
- Involve all sections of the site that have an impact of product safety (whilst specific activities may be relevant to certain departments or roles, overall the plan must ensure that all relevant section/roles are covered)
- An action plan indicating how the identified activities will be undertaken/completed
- Measurement of the activities (i.e. where they completed, where the correct people involved, were activities successful, any other learnings)
- Intended timescales for the completion of the activities
- A review of the effectiveness of completed activities

Where sites are non-compliant, the non-conformities shall be graded as follows:

**Major Non-conformity**

Where the site does not have a documented plan for food safety and quality culture. In this context a plan is more than a short statement of intent, but documentation incorporating the requirements of the clause (as summarised above).

**Minor Non-conformity**

Where a documented plan exists, but is:

- of poor quality (e.g. insufficiently detailed, for example missing timescales for completion or absence of clear action plans)
- does not cover all the relevant areas or staff
- not fully implemented (e.g. some activities not implemented or not completed to predefined schedule).
Site review of the effectiveness of completed activities

The third bullet point in the clause requires sites to undertake a review of the effectiveness of completed activities.

However, as audits to the Standard only commenced in February 2019 it is possible that this review of the success of the programme, would not always be implemented in year 1 and therefore non-compliance with this bullet point is not considered a non-conformity until the site’s second audit to Issue 8.

Corrective action required to enable certification

Corrective action, root cause analysis and preventive action plans shall be developed in accordance with the section 2.3 of the audit protocol.

Grading: The non-conformance shall be included in the calculation of the site grade.

Effective date: 1st June 2019
Changing Certification Body for a Re-audit

A re-audit in the context of this requirement is an audit carried out before the usual audit due date or the audit following a failure to be certificated. This most often occurs to improve the audit grade.

Sites have the ability to request a re-audit however this must be completed by the Certification Body who issued the current certificate.

In exceptional circumstances, a site may be permitted to change Certification Bodies for the re-audit when agreed in advance by BRCGS. Where a change in Certification Body has not been sanctioned, the re-audit will be null and void and will not be accepted onto the BRCGS Directory.

Justification shall be provided in writing to the Certification Body who shall submit it to BRCGS for consideration through the formal concession process.

Effective date: 1st June 2019
High Risk and High Care Production Zones for Cooked Crustacea

This Position Statement summarises BRCGS expectations in terms of production risk zones for cooked crustacea i.e. where the crustacea is cooked as part of the production process or where it was cooked at an earlier step in the production process including at another site.

According to the definitions in appendix 2 of the Standard, these products fit into the definition of high risk:

- Finished product is chilled or frozen to preserve food safety
- All components have received a full cook (equivalent to a 6 log reduction in Listeria monocytogenes)
- Finished product is vulnerable to growth of pathogens or survival of pathogens that could subsequently grow during normal storage and use
- Finished product is ready to eat or ready to heat or, on the basis of known consumer use, are likely to be eaten without adequate cooking.

Although the Standard recognises that some food products can be effectively managed using consumer cooking instructions. Consumer cooking instructions are not considered a valid justification for the production zone for cooked crustacea, as known consumer use, in many countries, is to eat the product cold without any heating and certainly without a full cook. Furthermore, the product appearance could lead consumers to believe the product is already fully cooked, and that no additional cooking is required.

Where a site is currently producing fully cooked crustaceans in a high care area, this will continue to be permitted, providing the key product safety controls relating to segregation and prevention of pathogen contamination of the cooked products are rigorously implemented and validated. Clause 8.1.3 states that where full physical barriers are not in place in the high care area, the site shall have undertaken a documented risk assessment and have introduced effective, validated processes shall be in place to protect products from contamination and this validation and implementation will be assessed as part of the audit.

From Issue 9 of the Standard onwards, all fully cooked crustaceans will be considered high risk (and will therefore need to meet the relevant high-risk requirements of the Standard). Where a site is partially heating a crustacean (i.e. less than log 6 reduction in Listeria monocytogenes) then a high care area will remain appropriate.

**Statement publication date:** 3rd September 2019